

#### Introduction

- Cerebral palsy (CP) is the most common motor disability in childhood, affecting 2.6 - 2.9 per 1000 live births.
- Hindfoot eversion with loss of the medial longitudinal arch, called pes planovalgus (PPV), is a common foot deformity in CP potentially causing pain and gait abnormalities.
- A common surgical intervention for PPV is lateral column lengthening (LCL, Fig. 1)

This flowchart presents the results of the study incorporated into the summarized Problem List of the

#### Medical/Surgical

- Cerebral Palsy
- status post Lateral Column Lengthening
- status post associated surgeries ------

Soft tissue surgical procedures	n	Bony surgical procedures	1
Iliopsoas lengthening	4	Proximal varus derotation osteotomy	
Gracilis lengthening	2	Tibial derotational osteotomy	$\uparrow$
Hamstrings lengthening	8	Acetabulum osteotomy (Dega)	
Tibialis anterior lengthening	2	Distal femur extension osteotomy	
Gastroc-soleus lengthening	4	Medial cuneiform osteotomy	
Posterior tibialis lengthening	2	Subtalar fusion	
Peroneus brevis lengthening	1	Distal hemiepiphysiodesis	
Patellar tendon advancement	2	Shelf acetabuloplasty	
Split anterior tibialis transfer	1		T
Split posterior tibialis transfer to peroneus brevis	2	1 <sup>st</sup> metatarsophalangeal joint fusion	t

The International Classification of Functioning Disability and Health (ICF) (WHO, 2001) is an established framework that can integrate and reflect the interplay between the health condition (in this case, adult CP patients treated with LCL for PPV in childhood) and other contextual factors such as activity and participation.

• This is the first study to report the long-term outcomes of lateral column lengthening surgery for pes planovalgus among adults with cerebral palsy treated in childhood that incorporates the domains of the ICF model by using novel objective measures and patient-reported outcome measures to describe and compare clinical outcomes and gait biomechanics among age-matched healthy controls.



Fig 1. Lateral Column Lengthening Image source:https://www.facmaspecialists.com/docs/Foot\_pdf\_foot\_flatfoot\_acquired.pdf.



# **Methods**

- Thirteen participants with CP treated with LCL for PPV in childhood and 27 controls were included.
- Outcomes were presented in terms of evaluative measures of body structure and function (BSF), and activity, participation, and health-related quality of life.
- BSF: Clinical and radiographic assessments, Quantitative gait analysis, including the Milwaukee Foot Model (MFM), a segmental foot model, provided kinematic data for foot and ankle segments.



#### Marker placements for the Milwaukee Foot Model

Patient-reported Outcomes assessments for all patients

Fig 2. Sample lateral ankle radiographs of a control subject (A), PPV subject (B), showing three angles to measure the degree of flat footedness (blue = Meary angle, red = Calcaneal Pitch, yellow = Talo-horizontal angle); angles superimposed on a control subject's (C) and PPV subject's (D) radiographs

Segmental Ankle Kinematics: Reduced sagittal tilt at the end of stance phase, abduction of tibia, hindfoot plantar flexion & eversion, reduced plantar flexion, valgus and abduction of the forefoot. Kinematics differences for individuals in the Planovalgus controls were greater when compared to the Rectus controls



Figure 3. Average multi-segment foot and ankle kinematics for the Planovalgus, Rectus and Planus Groups (controls). Mean comparisons across the gait cycle are provided for Planovalgus vs Rectus and Planovalgus vs Planus Groups. Heat maps with adjusted alphas indicate differences throughout the gait cycle between groups.

#### 92% reported having less energy 69% had difficulty with fitness

62% had difficulty with communication

# **Environmental Factors**

54% had difficulty with access to nutrition 85% had housing difficulties

### Conclusion

Adults with CP treated with LCL surgery for PPV in childhood achieved surgical correction that enables mobility, function, and community participation albeit challenges and measurable differences compared to age-matched controls.

## References

[1] Maenner MJ, et al. Prevalence of cerebral palsy and intellectual disability among children identified in two U.S. National Surveys, 2011-2013. Ann. Epidemiol. 2016 Mar;26(3):222-6. [2] Kadhim M, at al. Pes planovalgus deformity in children with cerebral palsy: review article. J. Pediatr Orthop B. 2014;23:400-05. [3] Volker E, et al. Calcaneal lengthening for planovalgus deformity in children with cerebral palsy. Foot Ankle Int. 2009;30(5):398-404. [4] Kadhim M, Holmes Jr L, Miller F. Long-term outcome of planovalgus foot surgical correction in children with cerebral palsy. J Foot Ankle Surg. 2013; 52:697-703 [5] Rasmussen HM, Nielsen DB, Pedersen NW et al. Gait deviation index, gait profile scire and gait variable score in children with spastic cerebral palsy: Inra-rater reliability and agreement across two repeated sessions. Gait Posture. 2015 Jul;42(2):133-7. [6] Massaad A, Assi A, Skalli W et al. Repeatability and validation of gait deviation index in children: typically developing and cerebral palsy. Gait Posture. 2014;39(1):354-8. [7] Davitt J, et al. Plantar pressure and radiographic changes after distal calcaneal lengthening in children and adolescents. J Pediatr Orthop 2001;21:70-5. [8] Long JT, et al. A multisegmental foot model with bone-based referencing: sensitivity to radiographic input parameters. Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2008;2008:879-82.

were done using the American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society (AOFAS) Ankle-Hindfoot scale, revised Foot Function Index (FFI-R), Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS)-57, 36-Item Short Form (SF-36), Assessment of Life Habits (Life-H), and Foot Health Status Questionnaire (FHSQ) for measures of pain, activity, participation, and quality of life.

 Foot type among healthy controls was also determined using clinical examination measures described by Hillstrom et al. because planus and cavus deformities may also exist in healthy, asymptomatic individuals. Making this additional stratification among the control group provides more information when using the MFM to characterize differences in ankle motion and biomechanics among different foot types.

The interplay between impaired body structure and function (strength, passive range of motion, ankle bony architecture), limited activity & participation, and other contextual factors was described to recognize the challenges patients face inside and outside the clinic long after the surgery has been performed.

Addressing contextual factors that influence the disease and impairments can equip patients with better skills they need as they transition into adulthood and enter the workforce.

### Acknowledgements

Supported by the Department of Health & Human Services (HHS)/National Institute on Disability, Independent living, and Rehabilitation Research (NIDILRR), Advanced Rehabilitation Research Training (ARRT), Grant No. 90AR5022-05-00