Estimating a proton's position in a pencil beam for proton imaging

H. E. S. Pettersen¹, L. Volz², J. R. Sølie³, D. Röhrich⁴, J. Seco² ¹Department of Oncology and Medical Physics, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway ²German Cancer Research Center, Dep. of Biomedical Physics in Radiadion Oncology, Heidelberg, Germany ³Department of Electrical Engineering, Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, Norway ⁴Department of Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Norway

Corresponding author: helge.pettersen@helse-bergen.no





During pCT image reconstruction, a Most Likely Path (MLP) of a proton is calculated, usually from the front/back trackers together with its initial and residual energy. In [2] the Bayesian MLP framework is extended to account for uncertainties in the various measurements.

Here we use the uncertainty of a initial pencil beam for single sided pCT. The initial position t₀ is calculated using the extended MLP framework, combined with a cubic spline path (CSP) for efficiency.

Monte Carlo simulations

The study is performed with GATE 8.1.p01 / Geant4 10.04.p02 / ROOT 6. The builder list QGSP_BIC_EMZ is used together with a maximum step size of 1 mm.

An idealized setup of [1] is modeled: a 230 MeV pencil beam of varying Gaussian σ , a box phantom of different materials and thicknesses and finally a set of ideal back trackers.

Figure 2: Examples from different path estimations (top) and their respective mean absolute errors (bottom) in a 16 cm water phantom. The circular pencil beam spot size is $\sigma = 4$ mm.





Three scenarios are considered for each proton's entry position: perfect knowledge from front trackers (for comparison); the mean lateral position of the pencil beam (TPS); and the estimated entry position t_0 .

The resulting CSP are compared to MC truth, with examples in fig. 1 and average errors in fig. 2. In figs. 3 and 4, different initial pencil beams and phantoms are considered.

Conclusion

A single side pCT setup has been evaluated on basis of the path estimation error. In the example setup, the error is a factor 2.5 below the pencil beam's spot size. In many cases the maximum error is kept below 1.5 mm. The error has an asymmetric depth-dependency, and the impact (and possible mitigation) of this on reconstructed images are currently being considered.

References

- [1] H. E. S. Pettersen, A Digital Tracking Calorimeter for Proton Computed Tomography, University of Bergen, PhD thesis (2018) Substitute this for the optimization article if it's done by then
- [2] N. Krah et al., A comprehensive theoretical comparison of proton imaging set-ups in terms of spatial resolution. Phys. Med. Biol. 63 (13) (2018) Schulte (2008)? Charles-Fekete